

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/625,078	07/22/2003	Kenzo Kitayama	F-7707	F-7707 9455	
28107 75	90 06/02/2006		EXAMINER		
JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP 122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000			OSORIO, RICARDO		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
NEW YORK,	NY 10168		2629		
			DATE MAILED: 06/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summany	10/625,078	KITAYAMA, KENZO			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	RICARDO L. OSORIO	2629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3/1.	3/06				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final				
		secution as to the merits is			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 455 O.G. 215.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-21</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-15</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>16-21</u> is/are objected to.					
	election requirement				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [_] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	·			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P	atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/625,078

Art Unit: 2629

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 10-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weber et al (WO 97/04334) in view of Schnee (5,751,344).

Regarding claims 10-15, Weber teaches of a display system comprising at least a plotter and /or an echo sounder installed in a ship, with the plotter for outputting signals representing the position of the ship and a past track thereof, and the echo sounder searching underwater conditions (abstract, lines 1-6); an indicator installed in the ship (page 5, lines 18-33) with the indicator selecting and displaying signals supplied at least from the plotter and the echo sounder (controller, Fig. 1, reference character 16 carries out the functions of an image selector, since it selects which images are to be displayed; also, see page 19, lines 24-30 and Fig. 2, reference character 26b); means for coupling between the plotter and the echo sounder and the indicator; wherein a parameter is transmitted to a desired one of the plotter and the echo sounder from at least one of the indicators (page 20, line 8-page 21, line 32. In this case it is implicit to have devices that communicate to each other, through a wire, etc., to be coupled to each other). However, Weber does not specifically disclose a plurality of indicators installed at different points in the ship.

Art Unit: 2629

Schnee teaches of a plurality of indicators installed at different points in the ship (Fig. 2, reference characters 90) for displaying signals supplied from the informational signal producing units coupled to the indicators (col. 4, line 24-col. 5, line 15).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have the plurality of indicators, as taught by Schnee, in the device of Weber, to permit continuous nighttime viewing of a vessel's surroundings to more than one person from a better vantage point in the vessel (see col. 1, lines 56-60).

Allowable Subject Matter

- 3. Claims 16-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 4. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Claims 16-21 are allowable since certain key features of the claimed invention are not taught or
 fairly suggested by the prior art. In claim 16, "said selectors in each of the indicators at said
 different points each respectively operate to select said signals of at least one of said signal
 producing units provided at a respective one of said different points for displaying on the
 respective indicator independently of signals selected at other ones of said indicators". In claims
 18 and 20, "said selectors in each of the indicators at said different points each respectively
 operate to select said signals of at least one of said measuring devices provided at a respective
 one of said different points for displaying on the respective indicator independently of signals
 selected at other ones of said indicators"

Application/Control Number: 10/625,078

Art Unit: 2629

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Ricardo L. Osorio whose telephone number is 571-272-7676.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:00 A.M. to 5:30

P.M. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Bipin Shalwala whose telephone number is 571-272-7681.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

571-273-8300 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to the Customer Service Window at the

Randolph Building, 401, Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be

obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

RICARDO OSORIO
PRIMARY FXAMINE

Page 4

PRIMARY EXAMINE

RLO

May 29, 2006